

---

*Leading article*

---

## Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs and the chemoprevention of colorectal and oesophageal cancers

In recent years, several areas of biological research have increasingly supported the suggestion that aspirin and other non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) may reduce the occurrence or progression of colorectal and, to a lesser extent, oesophageal cancer or both. This suggestion is supported by consistent biochemical, pharmacological, toxicological, clinical, and epidemiological messages. This leading article reviews the evidence and demonstrates how these diverse studies can be translated into strategies for NSAID intervention trials in cohorts at risk of colorectal and oesophageal cancer.

### NSAIDs and colorectal cancer

In 1977, Bennett *et al*<sup>1</sup> noted increased concentrations of prostaglandins in colorectal cancer tissue when compared with normal colorectal mucosa. Given the pharmacological ability of NSAIDs to inhibit the cyclooxygenase (COX-1) enzyme and thereby block prostaglandin synthesis, several research groups (notably Pollard and Luckert and Narisawa *et al*) soon considered the effects of NSAIDs on chemically induced cancers in rodents. Landmark studies then showed that NSAIDs could both prevent and reverse colorectal adenomas and carcinomas.<sup>2,3</sup> Since then more than 20 studies have been published and nearly all have confirmed the original reports. Indeed, the chemopreventive properties of several NSAIDs including aspirin,<sup>4</sup> indomethacin,<sup>5</sup> piroxicam,<sup>6</sup> and sulindac<sup>7</sup> have been shown.

Clinical experience with NSAIDs included a series of case reports<sup>8,9</sup> and randomised trials,<sup>10,11</sup> which demonstrated the ability of sulindac to reduce the size and number of colorectal polyps occurring in patients with familial adenomatous polyposis (FAP). These findings have important public health implications as it is likely that the adenoma/carcinoma sequence in FAP patients is similar to that of the general population. This concept is supported by recent epidemiological findings of a 40%–50% reduction in mortality from colorectal cancer among subjects regularly taking NSAIDs compared with those not taking these agents.<sup>12–20</sup> Two further clinical trials designed to test screening and antioxidant strategies for colorectal cancer have found decreased risks of colorectal adenomas among regular aspirin users.<sup>21,22</sup> None of these studies have provided information regarding optimum dose and frequency of aspirin use. To obtain data that can be translated into intervention strategies, future epidemiological studies should consider the relations between aspirin consumption, baseline cancer risk, and the resultant adenoma/carcinoma incidence.

Although these independent lines of research all support the link between NSAID use and colorectal cancer, the pharmacological basis of NSAID protection remains unclear. Protection is probably multifactorial and could be related to the ability of NSAIDs to arrest colorectal carcinogenesis at several stages. In low-moderate risk patients, the ability of NSAIDs to augment tumour

immunosurveillance mechanisms<sup>23</sup> may be sufficient to prevent cancer while in moderate-medium risk patients, NSAIDs may arrest carcinogenesis directly within the colorectal mucosa. There is a biochemical basis for this suggestion because the colorectal mucosa metabolises arachidonic acid predominantly via a lipoxygenase pathway<sup>24</sup> to form leukotrienes. The colorectal mucosa is thus associated with a low prostaglandin/leukotriene ratio, however, colorectal carcinogenesis is associated with progressive increases in mucosal prostaglandin E<sub>2</sub> synthesis.<sup>25</sup> NSAIDs may arrest this carcinogenic stage by inhibiting prostaglandin E<sub>2</sub> synthesis and diverting the arachidonic acid cascade into lipoxygenase metabolism. Biochemically, this would parallel a restoration of the low prostaglandin/leukotriene ratio. In medium-high risk patients, NSAIDs may arrest carcinogenesis using a combination of these properties. Furthermore, there are indications that NSAIDs stimulate programmed cell death (apoptosis) *in vitro*<sup>26</sup> and in FAP patients.<sup>27</sup> Rodent models of colorectal cancer provide good experimental models to examine the effects of NSAIDs upon apoptosis. In high risk carcinoma *in situ* patients, NSAIDs may prevent or retard (chemoprocristation) the development of spreading cancer by augmenting tumoricidal colorectal immunosurveillance mechanisms.<sup>28</sup> This tumoricidal property may be related to the inhibition of immunosuppressive/carcinostimulant prostaglandin E<sub>2</sub>, which is produced in excessive amounts by a colorectal cyclooxygenase (COX-2) enzyme that can be induced by various mitogens including cell growth factors, cytokines, and tumour promoters.<sup>29</sup> COX-2 inhibitors are attractive chemopreventive targets because selective inhibition may prevent cancer while avoiding the complications of bleeding and gastric irritation.<sup>29</sup> These explanations emphasise the need to develop an evidence based dose related carcinogenic grading system as it is probable that low-moderate risk patients will require lower and less frequent NSAID dosing than high risk patients. Indeed, the profiling of these arbitrary risk levels challenges the validity of the epidemiological studies because it is extremely difficult to retrospectively grade baseline cancer risk levels in large populations. Furthermore, the definitions of regular aspirin use have varied between studies. Hence, the variables of cancer risk and aspirin dose/frequency have no consistent correlation and a 40%–50% risk reduction may reflect a net result of varying degrees of protection. For example, regular aspirin consumption in low-moderate risk, moderate-medium risk, medium-high risk, and high to carcinoma *in situ* cohorts could be associated with risk reductions of 80%, 60%, 40%, and 20% respectively. In accordance with this principle, the American Cancer Society have called for randomised trials directed at the prevention of colorectal adenomas as precursors of colorectal cancer.<sup>30</sup>

### NSAIDs and oesophageal cancer

The evidence supporting a link between NSAID use and

oesophageal cancer is less strong. There are, however, similarities between the carcinogenic process and the chemopreventive potential of NSAIDs in these organs (Table). Oesophageal cancer is also associated with the excessive production of prostaglandin E<sub>2</sub><sup>31</sup> and two published reports have documented the ability of NSAIDs to prevent and reverse chemically induced oesophageal cancers in rodents.<sup>32 33</sup> Epidemiological studies, however, have produced conflicting results. Thun *et al*<sup>34</sup> studied 635 031 adults who, in 1982, had provided information on the frequency and duration of their aspirin use. They found a 40%–50% reduction in oesophageal cancer risk among regular aspirin users. A similar, albeit smaller (12 668 subjects) study by Schreinemacher and Everson<sup>35</sup> found that aspirin consumption offered no protection against oesophageal cancer. Both studies reported an inverse correlation between aspirin consumption and colorectal cancer risk. There are, however, good pharmacological reasons to suspect that regular NSAID consumption does offer protection against oesophageal squamous cell carcinoma and adenocarcinoma. Chronic oesophagitis, an important precancerous oesophageal lesion and inflammation, is associated with the excessive mucosal production of prostaglandin E<sub>2</sub>.<sup>36</sup> The raised prostaglandin/leukotriene ratio may contribute to carcinogenesis because prostaglandin E<sub>2</sub> seems to be carcinostimulant in the oesophagus while lipoxigenase metabolites are protective.<sup>37</sup> NSAIDs can prevent and reverse oesophageal inflammation, and biochemically this would parallel a restoration of the low prostaglandin/leukotriene ratio associated with the normal oesophageal mucosa. NSAID intervention may be particularly useful in the areas of the world with a high incidence of chronic oesophagitis and oesophageal squamous cell carcinoma.

Barrett's oesophagus is an important precancerous lesion and is thought to represent an adaptive response to longstanding reflux injury. Barrett's oesophagus may be prevented by NSAIDs because prostaglandin E<sub>2</sub> seems to drive the cycle of dysmotility, duodenogastric reflux, mucosal injury, aggravated dysmotility, and further duodenogastric reflux.<sup>36 37</sup> The incidence of Barrett's related adenocarcinoma has increased recently. This may be related to a 'Western' diet rich in prostaglandin E<sub>2</sub> precursors such as linoleic acid. High values of prostaglandin E<sub>2</sub> can relax the pyloric and cardiac sphincters while suppressing gastric acid secretion (A M Sammon, personal communication) and the resulting non-acid duodenogastric reflux may initiate or exacerbate the vicious prostaglandin E<sub>2</sub> cycle. Furthermore, the immunostimulatory properties of NSAIDs may be valuable as Barrett's oesophagus is associated with the depressed function of tumoricidal immune cells.<sup>38</sup> NSAIDs could help to prevent malignant

degeneration in Barrett's patients by augmenting tumoricidal mechanisms. This property may be related to the inhibition of immunosuppressive prostaglandin E<sub>2</sub> synthesis.

### Objections to NSAID intervention

Because of the major public health and economic implications of NSAID cancer chemoprevention, it is essential that all recommendations are evidence based. NSAIDs can damage the gastrointestinal tract, liver, and kidneys and thus the potential benefit would need to be balanced against the risk of adverse effects. For cardiovascular disease aspirin chemoprevention with an optimum dose of 160 mg daily has been associated with a favourable benefit versus risk ratio. This does not, however, justify large scale aspirin chemoprevention because the doses of aspirin required for cancer protection may be greater than the antiplatelet dose.<sup>39</sup> In the absence of an evidence dose related carcinogenic grading system, caution is required because biased messages reaching the general public may be misinterpreted. For example, advocating the benefit of aspirin without highlighting potential risk may lead to the inappropriate or excessive consumption. A multi-disciplinary scientific approach is therefore required to produce evidence based guidelines before chemoprevention strategies can be implemented.

In high risk cohorts where NSAID chemoprevention trials appear justifiable, additional measures could be used to reduce the risk of NSAID toxicity. These could include the use of enteric coated aspirin, NSAIDs with excellent safety profiles such as azapropazone, or, in high risk patients, the use of selective COX-2 inhibitors such as nabumetone.<sup>40–42</sup> Furthermore, in high risk patients requiring high or frequent doses of NSAIDs, omeprazole administration could be considered as there are reports that acidic NSAIDs can exacerbate oesophageal and colorectal inflammation.<sup>43 44</sup> Omeprazole can prevent NSAID gastroduodenal toxicity<sup>45</sup> and this property justifies the serious consideration of an NSAID chemoprevention study in patients with Barrett's oesophagus.

### NSAIDs and Barrett's oesophagus

Although omeprazole is often used in the treatment of Barrett's oesophagus the effects on adenocarcinoma risk are unclear. Regression of Barrett's oesophagus has been reported<sup>46</sup> while others believe that omeprazole may encourage adenocarcinoma by facilitating alkaline reflux.<sup>47</sup> Patients with Barrett's oesophagus receiving omeprazole are good candidates for NSAID chemoprevention as a number of carcinogenic biomarkers could be monitored. These include immune status and the prostaglandin/leukotriene ratios in both the Barrett's and squamous mucosae. NSAIDs may also provide clinical benefit by arresting the underlying reflux cycle while the restoration of the low prostaglandin/leukotriene ratio associated with the squamous mucosa may encourage columnar cell regression. Barrett's oesophagus is associated with increased risks of colorectal cancer<sup>48 49</sup> and this supports the rationale for NSAID chemoprevention. Randomised controlled trials of omeprazole/NSAID versus omeprazole/placebo are therefore warranted. The NSAID sulindac would be a good choice for such trials given its success in FAP patients.

### Future research

Future research programmes should assess the effects of NSAIDs upon intermediate carcinogenic markers such as immune status and prostaglandin/leukotriene ratios. Patients with FAP and Barrett's oesophagus are good

Similarities between colorectal and oesophageal carcinogenesis

	Colorectal carcinogenesis	Oesophageal carcinogenesis
Aetiology	Well defined	Well defined
Normal AA metabolism	Lipoxygenase	Lipoxygenase
Normal PG/LT ratio	Low	Low
Carcinogenic PG/LT	High	High
PGE <sub>2</sub> origin	COX-2 enzyme?	Diet?
Function of PGE <sub>2</sub>	Inflammatory	Inflammatory
	Immunosuppressive	Immunosuppressive
	Carcinostimulant	Carcinostimulant
NSAID animal studies	Over 20	Only 2
Clinical evidence	Sulindac useful in FAP	None
Epidemiological evidence	Consistent findings	Conflicting findings
Properties of NSAIDs	Immunostimulatory	Immunostimulatory
	Induce apoptosis	Anti-inflammatory
	Tumoricidal	Tumoricidal
Future research	Epidemiology	Epidemiology
	Grading system	Grading system
	FAP patients	Barrett's patients
	High risk populations	High risk populations

AA=arachidonic acid, PG=prostaglandin, LT=leukotriene.

candidates for NSAID or placebo randomised controlled trials. A carcinogenic grading system for oesophageal and colorectal cancer should define individual or population cancer risks, or both, as low, moderate, medium or high. Such a grading system should be versatile to accommodate regional risk factors, such as traditional dietary practices, and could be scored by assessing factors such as alcohol intake, age, demographics, dietary habits, genetic predisposition, and the presence or severity of precancerous lesions. The advantage of a quantifiable system is that NSAID efficacy could be monitored as a function of baseline risk, while changes in cancer risk may require proportionate increases/decreases in NSAID dosage and frequency. In anticipation of this, future epidemiological studies should consider the relation between aspirin consumption, baseline cancer risk, and resultant cancer incidence. Data from these studies will support the design of a dose related carcinogenic grading system. The design of this system will require a multi-disciplinary/multi-national approach, however, it would herald a break through in cancer prevention because evidence based NSAID intervention strategies could be implemented.

GARETH MORGAN

Pharmaceutical Department,  
West Glamorgan Health Authority,  
41 High Street,  
Swansea SA1 1LT

## Addendum

Three relevant papers have been recently published. In an epidemiological study, Funkhouser and Sharp<sup>1</sup> noted that aspirin use decreased the risk of fatal oesophageal carcinoma by 90%. Cauvin *et al*<sup>2</sup> found that 25% of Barrett's oesophagus patients had colorectal adenomas. These patients are excellent candidates for sulindac/omeprazole studies.

Finally, NSAIDs may also be useful in the treatment of oesophageal carcinoma.<sup>3</sup> Tumoricidal NSAIDs may induce carcinoma regression and could also help to reduce the risk of side effects from radiotherapy and surgery.

- 1 Funkhouser EM, Sharp GB. Aspirin and the reduced risk of esophageal carcinoma. *Cancer* 1995; 76: 1116-9.
- 2 Cauvin J-M, Goldfein D, Le Rhun M, *et al*. Muticentre prospective controlled study of Barrett's oesophagus and colorectal adenomas. *Lancet* 1995; 346: 1391-4.
- 3 Morgan GP. The potential of NSAIDs in the treatment of oesophageal carcinoma. *Eur J Surg Oncol* (in press).
- 1 Bennett A, Del Tacca M, Stamford IF, Zebro T. Prostaglandins from tumours of human large bowel. *Br J Surg* 1977; 35: 882-4.
- 2 Pollard M, Luckert PH. Indomethacin treatment of rats with dimethylhydrazine induced intestinal tumours. *Cancer Treat Rep* 1980; 64: 1323-7.
- 3 Kudo T, Narisawa T, Abo S. Antitumor activity of indomethacin on methylazomethanol-induced large bowel tumors in rats. *Gann* 1980; 71: 260-4.
- 4 Craven PA, Derubertis FR. Effects of aspirin on dimethylhydrazine induced colonic carcinogenesis. *Carcinogenesis* 1992; 13: 541-6.
- 5 Rubio CA, Wallin B, Ware J, Sueandar M, Duvander A. Effect of indomethacin on autotransplanted colonic tumours. *Dig Colon Rectum* 1989; 32: 488-91.
- 6 Pollard M, Luckert PH. Effect of piroxicam on primary intestinal tumors induced in rats by N-methyl nitrosourea. *Cancer Lett* 1984; 24: 117-21.
- 7 Skinner SA, Penney AG, O'Brien PE. Sulindac inhibits the rate of growth and appearance of colon tumours in the rat. *Arch Surg* 1991; 13: 541-6.
- 8 Waddell WR, Loughry RW. Sulindac for polyposis of the colon. *J Surg Oncol* 1983; 24: 83-7.
- 9 Waddell WR, Ganser GF, Cerise EJ, Loughry RW. Sulindac for polyposis of the colon. *Am J Surg* 1989; 157: 175-9.
- 10 Labayle D, Fischer D, Vielh P, Drouhin F, Pariente A, Borjes C, *et al*. Sulindac causes regression of rectal polyps in familial adenomatous polyposis. *Gastroenterology* 1991; 101: 635-9.
- 11 Giardello FM, Hamilton S, Krush AJ, Piantadosi S, Hyland LM, Celano P, *et al*. Treatment of colonic and rectal adenomas with sulindac in familial adenomatous polyposis. *N Engl J Med* 1993; 328: 1313-6.

- 12 Kune GA, Kune S, Watson LF. Colorectal cancer risk, chronic illnesses, operations and medications: case-control results from the Melbourne Colorectal Cancer Study. *Cancer Res* 1988; 48: 4399-404.
- 13 Thun MJ, Namboodiri MM, Health CW Jr. Aspirin use and reduced risk of fatal colon cancer. *N Engl J Med* 1991; 325: 1593-6.
- 14 Rosenberg L, Palmer JR, Zauberg AG, Warshawer ME, Stolley PD, Shapiro S. A hypothesis: nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs reduce the incidence of large-bowel cancer. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 1991; 83: 355-8.
- 15 Suh O, Mettlin C, Petrelli NJ. Aspirin use, cancer, and polyps of the large bowel. *Cancer* 1993; 72: 1171-7.
- 16 Peleg II, Maibach HT, Brown SH, Wilcox CM. Aspirin and nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drug use and the risk of subsequent colorectal cancer. *Arch Intern Med* 1994; 154: 394-9.
- 17 Muscat JE, Stellman SD, Wynder EL. Nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs and colorectal cancer. *Cancer* 1994; 74: 1847-54.
- 18 Giovannucci E, Rimm EB, Stampfer MJ, Colditz GA, Ascherio A, Willett WC. Aspirin use and the risk for colorectal cancer and adenoma in male health professionals. *Ann Intern Med* 1994; 121: 241-6.
- 19 Tosteson TD, Greenberg ER, Baron AJ. Aspirin use and reduced occurrence of large bowel adenomas. *Gastroenterology* 1995; 108: A39.
- 20 Evans JMM, McMahon AD, McGilchrist MM, White G, Murray FE, McDevitt DG, *et al*. Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, aspirin and colo-rectal cancer: a record-linkage case-control study in Tayside, Scotland. *Gastroenterology* 1995; 108: A464.
- 21 Logan RFA, Little J, Hawtin PG, Hardcastle JD. Effect of aspirin and non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs on colorectal adenomas. Case-control study of subjects participating in the Nottingham faecal occult blood screening programme. *BMJ* 1993; 307: 285-9.
- 22 Greenberg ER, Baron JA, Freedman DH Jr, Mandel JS, Haile R. Reduced risk of large-bowel adenomas among aspirin users. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 1993; 85: 912-6.
- 23 Odeh M. Aspirin and the risk of fatal colon cancer. *Postgrad Med J* 1993; 69: 2412-1.
- 24 Zijlstra FJ, van Dijk AP, Wilson JH. 15-HETE is the main eicosanoid formed by human colonic mucosa. *Agents Actions* 1992; Spec No C53-59.
- 25 Pugh S, Thomas GOA. Patients with adenomatous polyps and carcinomas have increased colonic mucosal prostaglandin E2. *Gut* 1994; 35: 675-8.
- 26 Zhou W, Rajeevan MS, Chow A. Aspirin and sodium salicylate induce apoptosis in HT-29 colon carcinoma cells. *Gastroenterology* 1995; 108: A559.
- 27 Pascricha PJ, Bedi A, Rashid A, Hamilton AJ, Akhtar AJ, O'Connor K, *et al*. Inhibition of apoptosis in familial adenomatous polyposis (FAP) and its modification by sulindac. *Gastroenterology* 1995; 108: A523.
- 28 Morgan GP, Williams JG. Therapeutic potential of aspirin in colon cancer. *BMJ* 1993; 307: 1007-8.
- 29 Eberhart CE, Coffey RJ, Radhika A, Giardello FM, Ferrenbach S, DuBois RN. Up-regulation of cyclo-oxygenase-2 gene expression in human colorectal adenomas and adenocarcinomas. *Gastroenterology* 1994; 107: 1183-8.
- 30 Heath CW, Thun MJ, Greenberg ER, Levin B, Marnett LJ. Nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs and colorectal cancer. *Cancer* 1994; 74: 2885-8.
- 31 Botha JH, Robinson KM, Ramchuren N, Reddi K, Norman RJ. Human esophageal carcinoma cell lines: prostaglandin production, biological properties and behaviour in nude mice. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 1986; 76: 1053-6.
- 32 Rubio CA. Antitumoral activity of indomethacin on esophageal tumours. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 1984; 72: 703-7.
- 33 Rubio CA. Further studies on the therapeutic effect of indomethacin on experimental esophageal tumours. *Cancer* 1986; 58: 1029-31.
- 34 Thun MJ, Namboodiri MM, Calle EE, Flanders WD, Heath CW. Aspirin use and risk of fatal cancer. *Cancer Res* 1993; 53: 1322-7.
- 35 Schreinemachers DM, Everson RB. Aspirin use and lung, colon and breast cancer incidence in a prospective study. *Epidemiology* 1994; 5: 138-46.
- 36 Morgan GP, Williams JG. Inflammatory mediators in the oesophagus. *Gut* 1994; 35: 297-8.
- 37 Morgan GP, Williams JG. A comparison of the pathophysiological arachidonic acid metabolism in the gastric and oesophageal mucosa. *Gastroenterology* 1995; 108: A170.
- 38 Oka M, Attwood SE, Kaw B. Immunosuppression in patients with Barrett's esophagus. *Surgery* 1992; 112: 11-7.
- 39 Morgan GP. NSAIDs and the risk of digestive cancers. *Arch Intern Med* 1995; 155: 213-4.
- 40 Fries JF, Ramsey DR, Singh G, Morfield D, Block DA. A re-evaluation of aspirin therapy in rheumatoid arthritis. *Arch Intern Med* 1993; 153: 2465-71.
- 41 Taha AS, Dahill S, Sturrock RD, Lee FD, Russell RI. Predicting NSAID related ulcers - assessment of clinical and pathological risk factors and importance of differences in NSAIDs. *Gut* 1994; 35: 891-5.
- 42 DeWitt DL, Meade EA, Smith WL. PGH synthase isoenzyme selectivity: the potential for safer nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs. *Am J Med* 1993; 95: 405-45.
- 43 Kaufman HJ, Taubin HL. Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs activate quiescent inflammatory bowel. *Ann Intern Med* 1987; 107: 513-6.
- 44 Heller SR, Fellow IW, Ogilvie AL. Non steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs and benign oesophageal stricture. *BMJ* 1982; 285: 167-8.
- 45 Schieman JM, Behler EM, Loeffler KM. Omeprazole ameliorates aspirin induced gastroduodenal injury. *Dig Dis Sci* 1994; 39: 97-103.
- 46 Gore S, Healey CJ, Sutton R, Eyre-Brook IA, Gear MW. Regression of columnar lined (Barrett's) oesophagus with continuous omeprazole therapy. *Alimentary Pharmacol Ther* 1993; 7: 623-8.
- 47 Stein HJ, Barlow AP, DeMeester TR, Hinder RA. Complications of gastroesophageal reflux disease. *Ann Surg* 1992; 216: 35-43.
- 48 Limburg PJ, Ahlquist DA, Talley NJ, Cameron AJ, Zinsmeister AR. Risk and site predominance of colorectal cancer with Barrett's esophagus: a cohort study. *Gastroenterology* 1994; 106: A429.
- 49 Howden CW, Homung CA. The risk of colon neoplasms in Barrett's esophagus: a re-analysis of 9 controlled studies. *Gastroenterology* 1995; 108: A482.